

Minutes

Regular Meeting

Englewood Board of Adjustment

July 21, 2011

Municipal Court 73 South Van Brunt Street

Members present: Mr. Feintuch, Mr. Fuld, Mr. Maron, Mr. Reidler, Mr. Shafer (8:25), Ms. Thomas, Ms. Baker, Ms. Mitchell, Chairwoman Byrne

Also present: Mr. Rutherford, Mrs. Evans, Mr. Albert

Meeting called to order at 8:10 pm

Roll call, announcement of proper notice of meeting

Minutes:

Motion to approve minutes of June 27th: Mr. Fuld Second: Mr. Reidler
Motion passes

Announcement:

File#2010-5 Omar Albashaireh, 190 Englewood Avenue
It was announced that the applicant withdrew his application.

File#2011-11 Michael and Maya Rubinowitsch, 26 Humphrey Street
Moved to July 25th

Resolution:

File#2011-10 Isaak and Tami Volodarsky, 224 Dwight Place
Motion to approve: Mr. Reidler Second: Ms. Baker
Passed by a vote of 6 yes (Feintuch, Maron, Reidler, Thomas, Baker, Byrne)

0 no

Continued Application:

File#2011-1 Elray Outdoor Advertising, 60 Cedar Lane

Meryl Gonchar, attorney for the applicant addressed the board. After giving the board an overview of the application she called her first witness. Sworn in and giving testimony was Elliot Laniado who stated that he is one of the owners of Elray Outdoor Advertising. He was questioned by Ms. Gonchar as well as the board members. The meeting was open to question Mr. Laniado. No one came forward. The public portion of the meeting to question Mr. Laniado was then closed. Next to be sworn in was William Vogt, Jr who testified as the engineer for the applicant. Marked as evidence was A1- three plan sheet set labeled c1, c2,c3 last revised on June 8th. Also marked was A2 aerial photograph of 60 Cedar Lane. Mr. Vogt testified about all three sheets. Also marked for evidence was A3-billboard elevations, site plan, total height of sign. The board questioned Mr. Vogt. The meeting was open to the public to question Mr. Vogt. No one came forward. The public portion of the meeting was then closed.

After a board discussion a motion was made to deny the request for interpretation that the application is a permitted use. The motion was made by Mr. Shafer. A second was made by Mr. Feintuch. The vote was as follows:

Mr. Feintuch for
Mr. Fuld against
Mr. Maron for
Mr. Reidler for
Mr. Shafer for
Ms. Thomas for
Chairwoman Byrne for

Mr. Feintuch now leaves the meeting.

John McDonough was sworn in and gave testimony as the planner for the applicant. Marked as evidence was A4 exhibit packet. Also marked were A5 and A6, two aerial photographs. Additionally, the following were marked:

A7- photo simulation of vantage point 65-40
A8- photo simulation of 65-48
A9- photo simulation of 65-65
A10- photo simulation of 66-40

The board questioned Mr. McDonough. The meeting was opened to the public to question Mr. McDonough. No one came forward. The public portion of the meeting to question Mr. McDonough was then closed.

This case is continued to July 25th

Motion to adjourn: Mr. Fuld Second: Mr. Shafer

Meeting adjourned at 11:45 pm

Respectfully submitted,

Tina Evans